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INTRODUCTION

Sitting for extended periods is common across many populations, with reports of healthy adults sitting for more than 6 hours per day¹,². But for individuals who use wheelchairs as their primary mobility device, sitting times have been measured as upwards of 10 - 12 hours per day³-⁵. These individuals typically have reduced mobility and sensation, and therefore they are at increased risk of tissue breakdown and pressure ulcer development.

Pressure ulcers, by definition, result from localized tissue loading that causes a series of pathophysiological responses to deformation. The precise mechanisms by which internal loading and physiological responses lead to pressure ulcers are not known, however deformation contributes to damage both directly and indirectly (i.e., by impairing blood flow)⁶,⁷. Individuals with SCI can exhibit much greater deformation during sitting due to changes to buttocks tissue mass and compliance, leading to greater risk of pressure ulcer development.

Differences in pressure ulcer risk are likely to result from differences in Deformation Resistance, or the ability of the tissue to withstand load without deformation⁸. In order to investigate the differences in Deformation Resistance, we first need to understand the anatomy of the seated buttocks and how it varies across individuals. Currently, that information is not available in the literature. Furthermore, the typical variations in buttocks anatomy are needed to drive externally valid finite element models.

Therefore, the overall objective of this paper was to describe the 3D anatomy of the buttocks during sitting.

METHODS

The buttocks of 4 able-bodied individuals and 3 individuals with SCI were scanned sitting in a FONAR Upright MRI. Participants with SCI transferred into an MRI-compatible transfer chair (Figure 1A) for transport into the scanner area and up the ramp (Figure 1B), and then transferred onto the cushion. T1-weighted Fast Spin Echo scans were collected with the individuals seated on a custom wheelchair cushion (Figure 1 C and D, "IT Unloaded" condition) to unload the ischial tuberosities (ITs) and seated on a 3 inch foam cushion (Loaded Condition)⁹. Seated stability was

Figure 1. (A) MRI-compatible transfer chair used to transport participants into the scanner area (B). Two different cushions were used to unload the ischial tuberosities (C&D).
augmented from the lateral proximity of the MRI magnets as well as the support bar positioned in front of the subject.

Multi-planar scans were analyzed using Analyze 11.0, and the muscles, bones and adipose tissues were manually segmented for 3D rendering and further analyses. Matlab 2013 was used to calculate the percent of gluteus coverage (%GlutCoverage), defined as the percent of a 50 mm cylindrical region of interest at the peak of the IT covered by at least 2mm of gluteus maximus.

RESULTS

The gluteus maximus was typically positioned lateral and posterior to the IT, although the location of the gluteus maximus varied widely across the 7 subjects, and its position did not appear to be a function of the muscle volume (Figure 2). In both the “IT Unloaded” and loaded conditions, Subjects A and C presented with an appreciable amount of the IT covered by the gluteus maximus. In both these subjects, sitting on foam displaced the gluteus maximus away from the IT (Figure 3). Subjects B, D, and E had minimal IT coverage with none of the muscle wrapping underneath the IT’s most inferior aspect (Figures 2 & 3). Subject E was the only subject with SCI who exhibited any ischial coverage by the gluteus maximus.

In Subject C, an able-bodied male, the gluteus maximus covers the body of the ischium including the tuberosity and is largely positioned posteriorly and lateral to that bony prominence. The gluteus maximus of Subject G, a male with SCI, rests entirely posterior and lateral to the ischium. It is not loaded by the ischium as he sits upon the foam cushion.

As with the gluteus maximus, considerable variation was observed in the quantity and distribution of subcutaneous fat under load. Fat and skin thickness directly under the peak of the IT averaged 13 mm (standard deviation = 9 mm), but Subject B was the only person with a thickness greater than 15 mm. The average loaded thickness was only 10 mm for the other six subjects.

Figure 4 compares the buttocks anatomy of Subjects B and G. Although Subject B is able-bodied and Subject G has an SCI, it is clear that neither IT is covered with muscle, although Subject G presents with significant atrophy of the gluteus maximus. The adipose tissue, presented as a hollow object cut open,
shows how the tissue remains supported for Subject B, but wraps closely around the ischium of Subject G.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to investigate the 3-dimensional anatomical characteristics and tissue deformation of the seated buttocks across multiple people, including able-bodied and individuals with SCI. One of the most significant observations from this study was that the tissue beneath the ITs of the participants was predominantly composed of fat and connective tissue, with little or no muscle present under the IT. This is inconsistent with the assumptions dominating the pressure ulcer and seating literature. Yet the results above suggest that the muscle, particularly the gluteus maximus, is often not loaded directly by the bone, and is therefore unlikely to experience the largest stresses and strains of the buttocks tissue at the ischial interface. Therefore, PU research should address the loading on fat and connective tissue as tissues that may herald necrosis during DTI formation.

This study also illustrated the importance of using multi-planar imaging rather than single planes to investigate anatomy of the buttocks. Multi-planar imaging provided the surprising results that 5 out of 7 participants did not sit on muscle, and that whether or not an individual sat on muscle was not a function of muscle atrophy.
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Figure 4. Sagittal MRI slices near the peak of the IT are presented with the gluteus maximus highlighted in red. 3D renderings of one side of the pelvis are also presented for both subjects, along with renderings of the gluteus maximus (red) and subcutaneous adipose (blue). The adipose tissue, presented as a hollow object cut open with the top layer representing the interface nearest the ischium.


