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ABSTRACT

Geared manual wheelchairs may be a promising alternative for standard manual wheelchairs that reduce the biomechanical demands of the upper extremity joints, while maximizing function and participation. To investigate the effects of geared manual wheelchair mobility during demanding tasks such as ramp ascending, six able-bodied subjects were evaluated in this study. Subjects were asked to perform level and upslope stroking tasks using both standard and geared manual wheelchairs. Stroke cycle characteristics and shoulder joint kinematics were investigated. The results indicated that using geared manual wheelchair wheels did not alter the glenohumeral joint kinematics, but caused significant changes in stroke cycle characteristics particularly during demanding tasks such as ramp ascent. These results could have clinical implications for determining the types of mobility tasks and populations of users for which geared manual wheelchair are beneficial. The results from this work will also help improve the current geared wheel design and develop new multi-gereared wheels for manual wheelchairs.

BACKGROUND

Manual wheelchairs often lead to reduced independent function (Van der Woude, 2005) and an increase in shoulder pain and injuries (Alm, 2008). Geared manual wheelchairs may be a promising alternative that reduce the biomechanical demands of the shoulder needed for demanding tasks such as propulsion on ramps and carpeted floors, while maximizing function and participation. Previous work by our group showed that glenohumeral (GH) joint kinematics in all three planes of motion were not significantly different between geared wheels and standard wheels during level propulsion on tiled and carpeted floors, but stroke frequency increased significantly during geared mobility (Jahanian, 2015). Electromyography (EMG) of shoulder muscles during level stroking and upslope stroking, has shown a significant decrease in shoulder muscle activity during geared manual wheelchair mobility (Howarth, 2010). Shoulder pain reduction among manual wheelchair users was also reported as one of the potential advantages of geared manual wheelchair mobility (Finley, 2007). However, there is still limited scientific evidence supporting the benefits of geared manual wheelchair mobility. The goal of our work is to elucidate the biomechanical mechanisms affected by geared manual wheelchairs.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to compare the upper extremity joint kinematics and stroke cycle characteristics during level stroking and upslope stroking when using geared and standard manual wheelchairs. Using a repeated measure design, we aimed to address the following research questions:

1. Does wheel type cause significant changes in stroke cycle characteristics and shoulder joint kinematics?
2. Does slope cause significant changes in stroke cycle characteristics and shoulder joint kinematics?

METHOD

Subjects
Six individuals, three females and three males, ages 20-23 were recruited for this study. All participants were able-bodied and had no prior wheelchair experience. This study was approved by the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee institutional review board (IRB). Prior to data collection, each participant read and signed an informed consent document. An acclimation period (15-30 min) was provided for the participants to become familiarized with wheelchair propulsion techniques.

Data Collection
Subjects were instrumented with 27 reflective markers on the hands, forearms, upper arms,
scapulae, clavicles, and thorax (Schnorenberg, 2014), (Figure 1). Participants were asked to propel both standard and geared manual wheelchairs along a 20 ft tiled level-floor and on an 8 ft ADA wheelchair ramp (4.8 degrees slope) at a self-selected normal speed and propulsion pattern for five trials. Each ramp ascent trial began on a level surface with the participant positioned approximately 3 feet from the ramp base. A Breezy MW (Sunrise Medical LLC.) was used with both its standard wheels and Easy Push (IntelliWheels, Inc.) geared wheels (gear ratio of 1:1.6). Motion analysis data was collected using a 15 camera, three-dimensional (3-D) Vicon T-series motion capture system (120 Hz).

A custom inverse dynamics model (Schnorenberg, 2014) was used to calculate the 3-D upper extremity (UE) joint dynamics. The results for GH joint (humerus relative to thorax) in all three kinematic planes (sagittal, coronal and transverse) were used for analysis.

**Data Analysis**

Mean and standard deviation of stroke cycle characteristics and GH joint kinematics were computed for each wheel type (standard / geared) and slope (level-floor / ramp). A two-way analysis of variance (2 wheel x 2 slopes) with repeated measures was used for statistical analysis. If there was no significant interaction between the factors, main effects were investigated using Bonferroni adjusted t-test. When there was significant interaction between factors, simple effects of wheel factor within each each level of slope were examined. All statistical analyses were completed with IBM SPSS software using general linear model repeated measure (significance level = 0.05).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Stroke Cycle Characteristics**

Mean and standard deviation for stroke cycle parameters (speed, stroke time, stroke distance, stroke frequency and normalized stroke frequency) are reported (Table 1). Repeated measure factorial analysis of stroke cycle characteristics (6 able-bodied subjects) showed that there is no significant interaction between factors (wheel type and slope) for speed, stroke time, push time and stroke distance. The results for main effects determined that the mean value for speed decreased significantly ($p = 0.003$) during geared mobility (0.66 m/s) in comparison to standard wheelchair mobility (0.86 m/s) regardless of level of slope. Push time and stroke distance also decreased significantly ($p = 0.002$) during geared manual wheelchair stroking (50.43 %, 0.7 m) in comparison to standard manual wheelchair (56.03 %, 0.9 m). Wheel type did not cause any significant changes on stroke time.

The effect of wheel type on stroke frequency was not the same regardless of level ($p = 0.028$), there was also significant interaction between wheel and slope factors ($p = 0.013$) for normalized stroke frequency. Therefore, simple effects were investigated for these independent variables (stroke frequency and normalized stroke frequency). The effect of wheel type on stroke frequency during level stroking and upslope stroking was not statistically significant. During level stroking, normalized stroke frequency was not statistically different between geared wheel and
Table 1: Stroke cycle characteristics mean values and standard deviations for different experimental conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Propulsion on Tiled Level-Floor</th>
<th>Propulsion on Ramp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard Wheels</td>
<td>Geared Wheels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke Distance (m)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke Time (s)</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push Time (%)</td>
<td>49.45 ± 5.22</td>
<td>43.46 ± 2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>1 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Frequency (1/ms)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: GH joint mean ranges of motion and standard deviations during a wheelchair stroke cycle for different experimental conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Propulsion on Tiled Level-Floor</th>
<th>Propulsion on Ramp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard Wheels</td>
<td>Geared Wheels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal</td>
<td>59.24 ± 8.57</td>
<td>62.21 ± 10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>17.24 ± 5.76</td>
<td>16.48 ± 3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse</td>
<td>26.31 ± 11.43</td>
<td>27.85 ± 10.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: GH joint trajectories in sagittal, coronal and transverse planes. Group mean profile (solid line) and +/- one standard deviation (dotted line) of six able-bodied subjects (dominant side) for geared and standard manual wheelchairs propulsion on ramp

Figure 3: GH joint trajectories in sagittal, coronal and transverse planes. Group mean profile (solid line) and +/- one standard deviation (dotted line) of six able-bodied subjects (dominant side) for geared and standard manual wheelchairs propulsion on tiled level-floor
standard wheel conditions, but during upslope stroking normalized stroke frequency increased significantly (49%, \( p = 0.05 \)) during geared manual wheelchair mobility. The results for normalized stroke frequency indicated that for travelling the same distance a higher number of strokes is required while using geared manual wheelchairs.

GH Joint Kinematics

There was no significant interaction between the factors (wheel and slope), and GH joint kinematics of six subjects were not significantly different between geared wheels and standard wheels. GH joint angle mean ranges of motion in the sagittal, coronal and transverse plane are presented (Table 2). Figure 2-3 illustrate the kinematic trajectories of GH joint in three planes and for geared and standard manual wheelchair during upslope stroking and level stroking.

Study Limitations

Small sample size and testing able-bodied subjects instead of experienced manual wheelchair users were the main limitations of this study. Further investigation is underway with a larger population of able-bodied persons and manual wheelchair users with spinal cord injury.

CONCLUSION

Previous studies on geared manual wheelchair mobility had reported potential benefits in terms of muscle activity and pain reduction. The results of this study showed that using the geared manual wheelchair did not alter GH joint kinematics, but it caused significant changes in stroke cycle characteristics particularly during demanding tasks such as ramp ascent. The significant increase in number of required strokes for travelling a specific distance can be the main drawback of geared manual wheelchairs. The results from this study have clinical implications for development of manual wheelchair prescription guidelines. These results will help us determine the types of mobility tasks and populations of users for which geared manual wheelchairs are beneficial. Ultimately, this work will lead to new multi-gearred wheel designs for manual wheelchairs.
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