

This article was downloaded by: [UATY Assistive Technology]

On: 9 June 2010

Access details: Access Details: [subscription number 922452279]

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Assistive Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t910220176>

### RESNA Position on the Application of Seat-Elevating Devices for Wheelchair Users

Julianna Arva<sup>a</sup>; Mark R. Schmeler<sup>b</sup>; Michelle L. Lange<sup>c</sup>; Daniel D. Lipka<sup>d</sup>; Lauren E. Rosen<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> TiLITE Corp., Kemmerich, Washington, USA <sup>b</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Science & Technology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA <sup>c</sup> Access to Independence, Inc., Arvada, Colorado, USA <sup>d</sup> Tobii Assistive Technology, Inc., Medina, Ohio, USA <sup>e</sup> St. Joseph's Children's Hospital of Tampa, Tampa, Florida, USA

**To cite this Article** Arva, Julianna , Schmeler, Mark R. , Lange, Michelle L. , Lipka, Daniel D. and Rosen, Lauren E.(2009) 'RESNA Position on the Application of Seat-Elevating Devices for Wheelchair Users', Assistive Technology, 21: 2, 69 – 72

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/10400430902945587

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10400430902945587>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# RESNA Position on the Application of Seat-Elevating Devices for Wheelchair Users

Julianna Arva, MS,<sup>1</sup> Mark R. Schmeler, PhD, OTR/L, ATP,<sup>2</sup> Michelle L. Lange, OTR, ABDA, ATP,<sup>3</sup> Daniel D. Lipka, MEd, ATP, OTL,<sup>4</sup> and Lauren E. Rosen, PT, MPT, ATP<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>TiLITE Corp., Kemmerich, Washington

<sup>2</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Science & Technology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

<sup>3</sup>Access to Independence, Inc., Arvada, Colorado

<sup>4</sup>Tobii Assistive Technology, Inc., Medina, Ohio

<sup>5</sup>St. Joseph's Children's Hospital of Tampa, Tampa, Florida

---

**ABSTRACT** This document, approved by the Rehabilitation Engineering & Assistive Technology Society of North America (RESNA) Board of Directors in September 2005, shares typical clinical applications and provides evidence from the literature supporting the use of seat-elevating devices for wheelchair users.

**KEYWORDS** power features, rehabilitation, seat elevation, wheelchair

---

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to share typical clinical applications as well as provide evidence from the literature supporting the application of seat-elevating devices to assist practitioners in decision making and justification. It is not intended to replace clinical judgment related to specific client needs.

## BACKGROUND

Wheelchair mobility is often only considered from the perspective of people moving from one point to another on a two-dimensional plane (Arva & Schmeler, 2004). Vertical movement is necessary in order for people to function and participate in a three-dimensional world. A common intervention that provides vertical mobility within a wheelchair is a seat-elevating device. It is RESNA's position that seat elevators are often medically necessary to assist individuals accomplish mobility-related activities of daily living tasks (MRADLs).

## Definition

A seat elevator will raise and lower users in their seated position through the use of an electromechanical lift system, without changing the seated angles or the seat's angle relative to the ground, in order to provide varying amounts of added vertical access. A seat elevator may elevate vertically from a standard seat height or may lower the user closer to the floor. Seat elevating devices address several medical needs, as follows.

Address correspondence to Mark R. Schmeler, PhD, OTR/L, ATP, Director, Continuing Education Program & VA Polytrauma Rehabilitation Assistive Technology Program, University of Pittsburgh, Department of Rehabilitation Science & Technology, 5044 Forbes Tower, Pittsburgh, PA 15260. E-mail: schmeler@pitt.edu

## Transfers

Transferring from a wheelchair to other surfaces such as a bed, toilet, or other surface is a necessary part of the daily routine. Transferring is a means to accomplish MRADLs, and therefore it is considered a medical necessity. Seat-elevating devices can facilitate safer and more independent transfers by elevating or lowering the seated height of the wheelchair. Examples of this application include but are not limited to the following:

- A wheelchair user is more readily able to transfer in a downhill direction using a sliding board versus uphill or to a level surface. In the downhill direction gravity assists as opposed to providing additional resistance and difficulty, as in the uphill direction.
- Transferring in a downward direction requires less upper extremity strain (Wang et al., 1994).
- Individuals with lower extremity weakness have difficulty assuming a standing position for transfers from a low seat to floor height. Rising from an elevated seat surface has been shown to require less lower extremity strength and less extension momentum at the knees, ankles, and the hips (Alexander et al., 2001; Brattstrom et al., 1981; Burdett et al., 1985; Edlich et al., 2003; Janssen et al., 2002; Rodosky et al., 1989; Weiner et al., 1993). It also takes longer to rise from a lower seat surface (Alexander et al., 1996). The use of a seat-elevating device to compensate for lower extremity weakness can assist with transfers, therefore prolonging independence. Examples of such transfers include a stand pivot transfer, unassisted or with assistance.
- A seat that lowers to the floor allows some users to transfer into and out of the seat independently onto the floor or to any lower surfaces, such as a therapeutic mat table. Children or people with absent or unusually short extremities can benefit from this feature, which enables them to transfer independently yet maintain a normal seated height to access everyday surfaces such as tables.

## Reach

For individuals with limited reaching abilities, a seat-elevating device may be necessary for access to objects and surfaces within their home, work, school, and community, thus improving their independence and

decreasing their dependence on others. Many of these objects and surfaces are necessary to complete MRADLs (e.g., hygiene, meal preparation, parenting, and shopping). Such areas include, but are not limited to, cabinets, sinks, grocery shelves, fire alarms, light switches, medicine cabinets, stovetops, thermostats, and service counters. Improved reach can also significantly increase people's ability to perform work- and school-related activities and their potential for integration and productivity.

Seat elevators may also help reduce upper extremity pain and help delay secondary complications to the shoulders. Studies have found an association between overhead activity and the development of shoulder pain and shown that the degree of upper arm elevation is one of the most important parameters influencing shoulder muscle load (Herberts et al., 1984; Jarvholm et al., 1991; Palmerud et al., 2000; Sigholm et al., 1984). When reaching from an elevated position, these loads are reduced, which is significant for individuals with already compromised upper extremity strength and range of motion.

## Psychological Considerations

Seat elevating devices also provide other benefits, including the following:

- Eye-to-eye conversations are more socially appropriate and improve a person's ability to participate in social activities.
- Communication on level height may improve people's self-confidence, thereby increasing their chance of success.
- Vertical mobility can raise society's expectations of wheelchair users and provide them with a more equal chance for success.

## Additional Physiological Aspects

When talking at eye level with others, typical hyperlordotic cervical curvatures of the spine can be reduced. This relieves strain on the neck and may help enhance vision, thus helping to prevent secondary complications.

An elevating seat may also allow a person in a wheelchair to hear and engage in conversations within a noisy environment, as well as to see and navigate more safely through a crowd of people.

## Pediatric Considerations

An elevating seat allows children to transverse all vertical environments necessary to satisfy their learning needs, both from a psychological perspective and to improve physical abilities such as performing MRADLs. Children can particularly benefit from elevating seats in the following ways:

- Children learn spatial relationships and basic concepts by moving their bodies through space, which needs to include all dimensions.
- Language development comes from interaction, and young children have interactions with peers and adults at many different levels.
- It is necessary to be at peer level for social and cognitive development and to avoid learned helplessness (Safford & Arbitman, 1975). Seat to floor height for normal activities is recommended to be low due to the small size of the child. A seat that lowers to the floor can also provide access to peer activities.
- Low seat to floor height may also assist in stand pivot transfers, since small children have shorter lower extremities.
- A seat elevator allows for maintenance of low seat to floor height, yet provides reach and access to “adult” environments such as dining tables, kitchen counters, and bookshelves. These areas are readily accessible for normally developing children as soon as they start walking and climbing. Use of elevating and/or lowering seats may relieve the necessity to purchase additional equipment purely for integration purposes.

## SUMMARY

It is RESNA’s position that seat elevators are often medically necessary for wheelchair users by enabling them to reach, improving MRADL abilities, facilitating or enabling transfers, providing peer height at different ages, enhancing independence and productivity, and delaying or preventing pain and secondary complications of the upper extremities.

## CASE EXAMPLES

Sally is a 67-year-old woman with osteogenesis imperfecta, right below-knee amputation, limited active shoulder flexion to 100 degrees, and short stature (5 feet tall). She uses a power wheelchair due to upper extremity

weakness and concerns with pathological fractures. She lives alone in an accessible apartment and is independent with all MRADLs using the power wheelchair and seat elevator. She requires the seat elevator to perform sliding board transfers in a downhill direction as she does not have adequate upper extremity strength to slide herself across a level surface or uphill. She also requires the seat elevator to reach and carry out tasks at different surface heights, specifically stovetop cooking and getting objects out of her cupboards. Without the seat elevator, she would not be able to transfer herself or perform her necessary MRADLs and would have to be living in a facility where she could receive assistance.

Jim is a 42-year-old man with spastic quadriplegic cerebral palsy. He spends approximately 16 hours a day in his wheelchair, which he uses for all home, work, and social activities. With the help of a seat elevator, he can reach objects in his home environment as well as all outside environments. In addition, by scooting forward, elevating the seat, and stepping down to the ground, supporting himself by the seat from behind, he is able to use a public urinal. He can then lower the seat and sit back into position. This ability saves him about 30 minutes a day, as compared to the need to get assistance and transfer onto an accessible toilet seat. This method also enables him to transfer independently without falling. Jim’s MRADL abilities, independence, and safety have significantly improved since he began using the seat elevator, and he became a more productive and less dependent member of society.

Jennifer is a 3-year-old girl with spinal muscular atrophy. Due to weakness in both her upper and lower extremities, she utilizes a power chair for mobility. She attends a mainstream preschool, where she integrates into all activities by elevating and lowering her seat as needed. With these features she can cover all vertical ranges; she is on the floor for circle time, she can lower to preschool tables, and she can elevate to reach objects. This integration lessens her self-consciousness, enabling her to communicate and play with the other children, gain self-confidence, and develop her independence. In the home environment, she is given MRADLs to accomplish without help, so she develops a sense of independence and motivation like all other children. Through the use of the elevating and lowering feature, Jennifer has the potential to be more active and independent as an adult.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article was developed through RESNA's Special Interest Group in Seating and Wheeled Mobility (SIG-09) and approved by the RESNA Board of Directors. RESNA is an interdisciplinary association of people with a common interest in technology and disability. RESNA's purpose is to improve the potential of people with disabilities to achieve their goals through the use of technology. RESNA serves that purpose by promoting research, development, education, advocacy, and provision of technology and by supporting the people engaged in these activities.

## REFERENCES

- Alexander, N. B., Gross, M. M., Medell, J. L., & Hofmeyer, M. R. (2001). Effects of functional ability and training on chair-rise biomechanics in older adults. *Journal of Gerontology, Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 56, 538–547.
- Alexander, N. B., Koester, D. J., & Grunawalt, J. A. (1996). Chair design affects how older adults rise from a chair. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 44, 356–362.
- Arva, J., & Schmeler, M. (2004). *Vertical mobility: An overlooked necessity*. Paper presented at the 20th International Seating Symposium, Vancouver, Canada.
- Brattstrom, M., Brattstrom, H., Eklof, M., & Fredstrom, J. (1981). The rheumatoid patient in need of a wheelchair. *Scandinavian Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 13, 39–43.
- Burdett, R. G., Habasevich, R., Pisciotta, J., & Simon, S. R. (1985). Biomechanical comparison of rising from two types of chairs. *Physical Therapy*, 65, 1177–1183.
- Edlich, R. F., Heather, C. L., & Galumbeck, M. H. (2003). Revolutionary advances in adaptive seating systems for the elderly and persons with disabilities that assist sit-to-stand transfers. *Journal of Long Term Effects of Medical Implants*, 13, 31–39.
- Herberts, P., Kadefors, R., Hogfors, C., & Sigholm, G. (1984). Shoulder pain and heavy manual labor. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research*, 166–178.
- Janssen, W. G., Bussmann, H. B., & Stam, H. J. (2002). Determinants of the sit-to-stand movement: A review. *Physical Therapy*, 82, 866–879.
- Jarvholm, U., Palmerud, G., Karlsson, D., Herberts, P., & Kadefors, R. (1991). Intramuscular pressure and electromyography in four shoulder muscles. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 9, 609–619.
- Palmerud, G., Forsman, M., Sporrang, H., Herberts, P., & Kadefors, R. (2000). Intramuscular pressure of the infra- and supraspinatus muscles in relation to hand load and arm posture. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 83, 223–230.
- Rodosky, M. W., Andriacchi, T. P., & Andersson, G. B. (1989). The influence of chair height on lower limb mechanics during rising. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 7, 266–271.
- Safford, P. L., & Arbitman, D. C. (1975). *Developmental intervention with young handicapped children*. Springfield, IL: Charles C Thomas.
- Sigholm, G., Herberts, P., Almstrom, C., & Kadefors, R. (1984). Electromyographic analysis of shoulder muscle load. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 1, 379–386.
- Wang, Y. T., Kim, C. K., Ford, H. T., & Ford, H. T., Jr. (1994). Reaction force and EMG analyses of wheelchair transfers. *Perceptual & Motor Skills*, 79, 763–766.
- Weiner, D. K., Long, R., Hughes, M. A., Chandler, J., & Studenski, S. (1993). When older adults face the chair-rise challenge: A study of chair height availability and height-modified chair-rise performance in the elderly. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 41, 6–10.